

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,166	01/03/2004	Dean Kamen	3061/101	1538	
2101 BROMBERG	7590 11/29/2007 & SUNSTEIN LLP		EXAMINER		
125 SUMMER STREET			ARYANPOUR, MITRA		
BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	3711		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
		10/751,166	KAMEN, DEAN	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Mitra Aryanpour	3711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the party of the second section apport.	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICTORY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICTORY OF THE MAILING OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH c, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 O This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	•	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date mal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/751,166

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norman et al (6,674,259) in view of BOTBALL and Updike (2002/0155884 A1).

Regarding claim 12, Norman et al in the Background of the Invention teaches that robot competitions are highly popular among people of all ages especially between high school students, wherein in these competitions, contestants i.e. students are asked to build robots to perform a wide range of tasks, such as picking up tennis balls, stacking blocks, and everything in-between (see column 1, lines 17-35). Norman et al does not disclose the particular competition rules. BOTBALL, a popular robotic competition. Each team is assigned a robot, which they design, build and operate to perform a series of tasks in each competition. In completing the tasks they are assigned points and at the end the team with the highest point value is declared the winner. Therefore, it would have been to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Norman et al and the well-known BOTBALL to obtain the invention as specified in claim 12. Norman et al as modified above does not explicitly state that the means for determining the winning team's score is by adding a portion of the losing teams score to the winner's total score. This concept is taught in poker tournaments and in gambling. US Patent Application Publication 2002/0155884 A1 to Updike teaches fair peer-to-peer gambling, wherein the winning points are

Application/Control Number: 10/751,166

Art Unit: 3711

subtracted from the loser's account and added to the winner's account (see abstract of the

disclosure and paragraph 0011). In view of Updike it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Updike's scoring

system into Normal et al's robot competition the motivation being to teach fair peer-to-peer

competition.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mitra Aryanpour whose telephone number is 571-272-4405. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA

23 November 2007

MITRA ARYANPOUR

Page 3